**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH**

**WRIT PETITION No.9481 OF 2007**

**DATED: 02-07-2007**

**BETWEEN**

Samudrala Ramanamma,
W/o. Sri Mohan Rao,
R/o. Eepurupalem Village,
Prakasam District.

...PETITIONER

**AND**

The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by the Superintendent of
Police, Parakasam District and
others.

...RESPONDENTS

**ORDER:**

Petitioner seeks a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of respondents in harassing her and her family members by visiting her house and asking them to visit to the police station as illegal and arbitrary and without any justification.

2. Counter has been filed stating that the petitioner married one Mohan Rao in 1984, who worked as Sarpanch of Kovuripalli village from 2001 to 2006. Petitioner and her mother were committing several property offences but the petitioner's husband refused to be part of those illegal dealings; hence, the petitioner left the company of her husband and staying with her mother. It is further stated that the petitioner is a habitual bag lifter and she figured as accused in Cr.No.172 of 2005 under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered by the Chirala I Town Police Station, which

ended in acquittal. Thereafter, the respondent came to know that she also figured as accused in Cr.No.173 of 1997 under Section 109 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, registered by the Puttaparthi Police Station of Anantapur District. The allegations made with regard to the attempt to arrest and detain her more so, when the marriage of her son was fixed, have been denied stating that the petitioner is not at all wanted in any criminal case and in fact, the marriage of her son was performed on 09.05.2007 without any interference whatsoever and the enquiry so far made goes to show that the petitioner is living nearby Charminar.

3. In view of the categorical denial of all the allegations about interference with petitioner's life and liberty and that she was never called to the police station, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in this writ petition. However, if any criminal cases are pending and if the petitioner commits any offence by lifting the bags of others, it is always open to the respondents to register a case and investigate the same but without there being any criminal case pending against the petitioner, her life and liberty cannot be interfered with in any manner.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J

July 2, 2007
DSK